Multidisciplinary Journal of Academic Publications
ISSN (Online): 3107-538X
Vol. 01, Issue 02, November – December 2025

ROLE OF GOVERNMENT SCHEMES IN RURAL DEVELOPMENT: AN ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT

Dr. Borkar Ajinkya Madhvarao

Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Ramrao Zanak Arts and Commerce College, Malegaon (Washim), Maharashtra

Abstract

Rural development in India is strongly shaped by public policy interventions that target employment, connectivity, housing, livelihoods, and social inclusion. Government schemes especially those under the Ministry of Rural Development operate as large-scale fiscal instruments that influence rural incomes, consumption, asset creation, and productivity. This paper provides an economic assessment of key rural development schemes in India, focusing on (i) direct income support through wage employment, (ii) public asset creation and infrastructure-led growth, (iii) livelihood promotion through self-help groups and credit linkages, and (iv) welfare assets such as rural housing. Using a policy-economics framework and secondary evidence, the study explains how schemes translate budgetary outlays into measurable outcomes (persondays, roads built, houses sanctioned, SHG credit), and how these outcomes contribute to poverty reduction, risk mitigation, and local multiplier effects. The assessment also highlights operational constraints such as delayed payments, cost-sharing pressures, and last-mile execution gaps along with policy measures that can increase efficiency, transparency, and development impact.

Keywords: *Rural development[1], public policy[2], MGNREGA[3], PMGSY[4], DAY-NRLM[5], PMAY-G [6.]*

1. Introduction

Rural development is not only a welfare objective; it is a core growth strategy in an economy where a large share of population still depends on agriculture and informal work. The economic case for government-led rural schemes is grounded in market failures and structural constraints typical of rural regions: underemployment, imperfect credit markets, weak infrastructure, high vulnerability to shocks (climate, health, price volatility), and limited access to quality public services. In such contexts, public programs can raise welfare and productivity simultaneously by (a) stabilizing incomes during lean seasons, (b) building durable assets that reduce transaction costs and improve market access, and (c) enabling livelihood diversification through institutional finance and collective action.

India's rural development architecture includes several flagship schemes that work through different channels:

- **Employment and income smoothing** through wage guarantees (notably MGNREGA).
- **Infrastructure and market access** through rural roads and connectivity (PMGSY).
- **Livelihoods, financial inclusion, and women's empowerment** through self-help groups and credit linkage (DAY-NRLM).
- **Asset-based welfare** such as rural housing (PMAY-G), often complemented by sanitation, electricity, and drinking water programs.

A meaningful economic assessment, rather than a descriptive review, must examine how these schemes change incentives and outcomes: labour supply decisions, rural wages, household consumption, asset formation, human development indicators, migration patterns, and local economic multipliers.

2. Objectives of the Study

1. To assess the economic role of major government schemes in rural development through key transmission channels (income, infrastructure, assets, livelihoods).
2. To evaluate outcomes using measurable indicators such as employment generation, connectivity creation, housing sanctions, and SHG-credit linkage.
3. To analyze key implementation constraints that reduce efficiency or equity of scheme benefits.
4. To provide policy suggestions for improving cost-effectiveness, timeliness, and developmental impact.

3. Methodology and Analytical Framework

This paper is a **policy-economic assessment** based on secondary data and documented evidence. The approach is structured around four economic lenses:

3.1 Output–Outcome–Impact Logic

- **Inputs** (budget allocations, administrative capacity) →
- **Outputs** (persondays, km of roads, houses sanctioned, SHG loans) →
- **Outcomes** (higher consumption, improved access, diversified livelihoods) →
- **Impacts** (poverty reduction, resilience, local growth).

3.2 Multiplier Effects in Rural Economies

A core justification for rural public spending is the **local multiplier**: wages and procurement spending raise demand for goods/services, which increases incomes of local producers and traders. Wage employment schemes often show strong short-run multipliers due to high marginal propensity to consume among poorer households.

3.3 Cost–Effectiveness and Leakage

Economic performance also depends on:

- targeting accuracy (who benefits),
- timeliness (payment delays reduce welfare gains),
- leakage/corruption,
- asset quality and durability.

3.4 Equity and Gender Lens

Schemes like DAY-NRLM operate through women’s collectives and finance, affecting intrahousehold bargaining power, entrepreneurship, and social capital dimensions often missed by income-only evaluation.

4. Overview of Key Rural Development Schemes and Economic Pathways

4.1 MGNREGA: Wage Employment, Risk Insurance, and Rural Demand

MGNREGA is a rights-based employment program designed to provide up to 100 days of wage employment per rural household. Economically, it functions as:

1. **Income support during lean periods**: It stabilizes consumption when farm work is unavailable.
2. **A wage floor**: It can raise bargaining power of labour and influence market wages in some contexts.
3. **Asset creation**: Work typically involves water conservation, land development, and rural infrastructure.
4. **Counter-cyclical policy tool**: It expands in downturns and can cushion shocks (e.g., drought, pandemic).

A measurable indicator of program scale is **persondays generated**. Official reporting indicates **309.01 crore persondays were generated in FY 2023–24**. This magnitude matters because it represents direct wage injection into rural areas, potentially increasing local demand for essentials and services.

Economic assessment:

- **Strengths:** Quick consumption smoothing, inclusion of women and vulnerable workers, community assets.
- **Key bottlenecks:** delayed wage payments and administrative frictions can reduce the net welfare gain and trust in the program (and may push workers back into distress migration). Contemporary reporting also highlights continued debate on funding patterns and dues/wage delays in some states.

4.2 PMGSY: Infrastructure-Led Rural Transformation

Rural roads reduce transaction costs, expand market size, improve access to schools/healthcare, and enable non-farm diversification. PMGSY is a classic example of **public infrastructure investment** that can raise long-run productivity.

A recent government "year ender" note reports PMGSY physical achievements during **01.01.2025 to 12.12.2025** including roads and bridges completed under PMGSY verticals. While year-ender summaries are not substitutes for full audits, such official reporting provides recent outcome indicators for connectivity creation.

Economic assessment:

- **Direct effects:** lower transport costs, better price realization for farmers, reduced post-harvest losses.
- **Indirect effects:** easier commuting supports rural non-farm employment, services expansion, and higher school attendance.

4.3 DAY-NRLM: Livelihoods, Credit, and Women-Led Development

DAY-NRLM focuses on mobilizing rural women into SHGs, strengthening institutions, and linking them to formal credit for livelihoods. The economic logic is strong: where informal credit is costly and risky, SHG-bank linkage increases affordable finance, improves repayment discipline through group mechanisms, and supports micro-enterprises.

Official program documentation reports substantial SHG bank linkage and disbursal figures; for example, NRLM progress data includes counts of SHGs with outstanding loans and loan disbursals during FY 2023–24 (as of early December 2023 in the cited document). Additionally, the Ministry provides performance reporting (state performance reports) that reflect institutional processes and implementation priorities.

Economic assessment:

- **Strengths:** women's financial inclusion, livelihood diversification, entrepreneurship, social capital.
- **Constraints:** variability in SHG quality, market linkage gaps, and uneven capacity across districts.

4.4 PMAY-G: Rural Housing as a Productive Welfare Asset

Rural housing impacts welfare (dignity, health, safety) and can also have economic spillovers: improved living conditions can reduce disease burden, enable home-based enterprises, and create local construction employment.

A government release notes that against targets of **84,37,139 houses**, **39,82,764 houses had been sanctioned as on 02.02.2025** under PMAY-G (as reported in that release). The same document also notes that the scheme has been evaluated by institutions such as NIPFP and NITI Aayog (as per the release).

Economic assessment:

- **Short-run gains:** construction demand, local employment, supply chain stimulus (materials, transport).
- **Medium-run gains:** better health outcomes, asset security, and potential productivity improvements.

5. Economic Outcomes and Contribution to Rural Development

5.1 Poverty Reduction and Consumption Smoothing

Schemes that transfer wages (MGNREGA) or create assets (PMAY-G) can reduce poverty directly by:

- increasing disposable income,
- reducing vulnerability (shock absorption),
- improving living standards and human development conditions.

Consumption smoothing is especially important in rural areas where incomes are seasonal. Wage support during lean periods prevents negative coping strategies (distress sale of assets, high-cost borrowing).

5.2 Labour Markets and Migration

Public works can affect labour supply and local wage conditions:

- In some regions, wage employment programs reduce distress migration by providing local employment.

- In others, administrative delays can weaken this effect and migration continues as a risk strategy.

The policy debate on changing cost-sharing patterns and implications for employment days in certain contexts illustrates why fiscal design matters for labour market outcomes.

5.3 Infrastructure and Market Access

Road connectivity can increase farm-gate prices by expanding buyer competition and lowering transport barriers. It also increases access to:

- input markets (seeds, fertilizer),
- output markets,
- education and health services.

Over time, infrastructure can shift rural economies from subsistence to higher commercialization and diversification.

5.4 Financial Inclusion and Women's Empowerment

DAY-NRLM's SHG ecosystem affects development through:

- improved savings habits,
- access to credit and insurance-like support via group funds,
- entrepreneurship and micro-enterprises,
- stronger local institutions and voice of women in community decisions.

Large-scale SHG credit linkage numbers indicate the breadth of institutional finance mobilization under NRLM.

6. Implementation and Efficiency Challenges

6.1 Timeliness and Payment Systems

For wage-based schemes, delayed payments reduce the effective value of the transfer—especially for poor households with high immediate needs. Delays can also push households into borrowing, reducing net gains.

6.2 Targeting and Exclusion Errors

Even universal-style rural schemes can have exclusion errors due to:

- documentation issues,
- migration,
- errors in job cards/beneficiary lists,
- digital access barriers.

6.3 Quality of Assets and Maintenance

Infrastructure programs can underperform if roads or public assets are not maintained. The economic returns depend on durability and usability, not only initial construction.

6.4 Regional Inequalities in Implementation Capacity

States and districts differ in administrative capacity, governance, and local monitoring. As a result, scheme benefits can be uneven even under uniform national guidelines.

7. Policy Suggestions for Higher Development Impact

1. **Strengthen last-mile accountability:** Expand social audit effectiveness, grievance redressal, and public dashboards for funds, works, and outcomes (especially for wage payments and asset quality).
2. **Improve payment timeliness:** Use real-time monitoring and escalation protocols for wage delays; ensure adequate fund availability to avoid stop-start implementation.
3. **Shift focus from output to outcomes:** Track outcome indicators (income stability, time-to-market, enterprise survival) along with outputs (roads, houses, persondays).
4. **Convergence of schemes:** Link MGNREGA works with watershed development, irrigation, and climate-resilience goals; link housing with WASH and energy access for maximum welfare gain.
5. **Market linkage for livelihoods:** For NRLM, strengthen value chains (producer groups, branding, procurement linkages) so SHG credit converts into sustainable income.
6. **District-level capacity building:** Invest in project management units, technical support, and training to reduce regional gaps in implementation.

8. Conclusion

Government schemes are central to rural development because they address structural constraints that private markets alone cannot solve. Wage employment schemes inject purchasing power and stabilize livelihoods; infrastructure schemes reduce transaction costs and enable market-based growth; SHG-based livelihood programs strengthen financial inclusion and promote women's economic participation; and rural housing schemes create durable welfare assets with positive spillovers. The economic contribution of these schemes is best understood through their combined effect on incomes, assets, productivity, and resilience. However, the development return on public spending depends critically on implementation quality—especially payment timeliness, transparency, asset durability, and convergence across programs. Strengthening governance and outcome-based monitoring can substantially increase the long-run effectiveness of rural development expenditure.

9. References

1. Press Information Bureau (PIB). *Persondays Generated under MGNREGA (FY 2023–24).* (Press Information Bureau)
2. Press Information Bureau (PIB). *PMAY-G progress and sanctions as on 02.02.2025.* (Press Information Bureau)
3. Press Information Bureau (PIB). *Department of Rural Development: Year Ender 2025 (PMGSY achievements).* (Press Information Bureau)

4. Press Information Bureau (PIB). *NRLM: SHG bank linkage and loan disbursal progress (document).* (static.pib.gov.in)
5. Ministry of Rural Development / DAY-NRLM. *State Performance Report 2024–25 (report).* (dord.gov.in)
6. CPR India (brief/overview note on MGNREGA allocations and implementation context). (CPR)
7. Data.gov.in (MoRD datasets catalogue). (Data.gov.in)